

# The Temperature – Magnetic Field Relation in Observed and Simulated Sunspots

Michal Sobotka<sup>1</sup> b · Reza Rezaei<sup>2</sup>

Received: 22 September 2017 / Accepted: 30 November 2017 / Published online: 5 December 2017 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V., part of Springer Nature 2017

Abstract Observations of the relation between continuum intensity and magnetic field strength in sunspots have been made for nearly five decades. This work presents full-Stokes measurements of the full-split (g = 3) line Fe I 1564.85 nm with a spatial resolution of 0.5" obtained with the GREGOR Infrared Spectrograph in three large sunspots. The continuum intensity is corrected for instrumental scattered light, and the brightness temperature is calculated. Magnetic field strength and inclination are derived directly from the line split and the ratio of Stokes components. The continuum intensity (temperature) relations to the field strength are studied separately in the umbra, light bridges, and penumbra. The results are consistent with previous studies, and it was found that the scatter of values in the relations increases with increasing spatial resolution thanks to resolved fine structures. The observed relations show trends common for the umbra, light bridges, and the inner penumbra, while the outer penumbra has a weaker magnetic field than the inner penumbra at equal continuum intensities. This fact can be interpreted in terms of the interlocking comb magnetic structure of the penumbra. A comparison with data obtained from numerical simulations was made. The simulated data generally have a stronger magnetic field and a weaker continuum intensity than the observations, which may be explained by stray light and limited spatial resolution of the observations, and also by photometric inaccuracies of the simulations.

Keywords Sunspots · Magnetic fields

 M. Sobotka michal.sobotka@asu.cas.cz
 R. Rezaei rrezaei@iac.es

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Astronomical Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences (v.v.i.), Fričova 298, 25165 Ondřejov, Czech Republic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, vía Láctea, 38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

# 1. Introduction

The inhibition of convection by magnetic field in sunspots is an obvious reason for expecting that the temperature and magnetic field are coupled. The magnetic field magnitude *B* and continuum intensity  $I_c$ , from which the brightness temperature  $T_b$  can be derived, were measured at different positions in one or more sunspots by several authors. Abdussamatov (1971) first made a scatter plot of  $I_c$  versus *B*, based on observations of the spectral line Fe I 630.25 nm. Gurman and House (1981) claimed to obtain a linear relation between  $I_c$  and *B*, but did not attempt to interpret it. Martínez Pillet and Vázquez (1990, 1993) suggested to study the relation  $T_b \sim B^2$ , which is relevant to the horizontal pressure balance in sunspots. Kopp and Rabin (1992) for the first time used the infrared line Fe I 1564.85 nm with a Landé factor of g = 3 to study this relation, and they found that it was linear only in the umbra, but not in the whole sunspot.

The line Fe I 1564.85 nm seems to be very suitable for studying the coupling of  $I_c$  or  $T_b$  with B. The line is only moderately sensitive to the temperature, and it is formed in the low photosphere at a height of about h = 110 km above  $\tau_{500} = 1$  (Bruls, Lites, and Murphy, 1991), while a nearby continuum is formed at h = -30 km (Vernazza, Avrett, and Loeser, 1981). It splits completely already at B = 500 G, and larger field-strength magnitudes are simply proportional to the wavelength difference between the peaks of its Zeeman  $\sigma$ -components (Solanki, Rüedi, and Livingston, 1992). Although the line suffers from some blends in the umbra, which, together with broadened wings at low temperatures, reduces the accuracy of the measured umbral field strength (Rüedi *et al.*, 1995), it can be used to measure *B* directly without the need of line-inversion methods. Another advantage is that in the infrared, the scattered light, caused by the telescope and instrument is lower than in the visible regions, as is the image degradation by seeing.

In the works that followed, the infrared line Fe I 1564.85 nm was used to derive magnetic information either from direct measurements of the I and/or V Stokes profiles (Livingston, 2002; Penn et al., 2003; Rezaei, Beck, and Schmidt, 2012) or by means of line-inversion methods (Solanki, Walther, and Livingston, 1993; Mathew et al., 2004; Jaeggli, Lin, and Uitenbroek, 2012). Other authors (Balthasar and Schmidt, 1993; Stanchfield, Thomas, and Lites, 1997; Leonard and Choudhary, 2008) used spectral lines in the visible region and lineinversion methods. Scatter plots of B versus  $I_c$  or  $T_b$  derived from measurements including the umbra together with the penumbra (Kopp and Rabin, 1992; Solanki, Walther, and Livingston, 1993; Balthasar and Schmidt, 1993; Stanchfield, Thomas, and Lites, 1997; Mathew et al., 2004) have a typical non-linear shape: an umbral part with increasing B at lowest intensities (temperatures), an umbra-penumbra transition with a relatively small change of B in a wide intensity range, and a penumbral part characterised by a substantial decrease of B. A recent survey of numerous spots made by Jaeggli, Lin, and Uitenbroek (2012) presents a similar picture. The authors interpret the non-linear  $B - T_{\rm b}$  relation in the umbra as a consequence of molecular  $(H_2)$  formation, reducing the number density of particles and the gas pressure in the coolest parts, so that a stronger magnetic field is necessary to maintain the horizontal pressure balance.

We extend these previous studies by high spatial resolution full-Stokes observations that newly make it possible to study the temperature – magnetic field relation separately in the umbra, light bridges, and the penumbra. We also take advantage of existing radiative magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) numerical simulations of sunspots (Rempel, 2012, in particular) and compare our results with synthetic data.

### 2. Observations and Data Analysis

## 2.1. Observations

The data were acquired on 11 May 2015, from 09:30 to 11:30 UT, with the *GREGOR In-frared Spectrograph* (GRIS, Collados *et al.*, 2012) attached to the 1.5 m solar telescope *GREGOR* (Schmidt *et al.*, 2012). The telescope is equipped with an adaptive optics system (Berkefeld *et al.*, 2012), which corrects aberrations due to the seeing and telescope, and an image rotator that compensates for the solar image rotation caused by the alt-azimuth mount of *GREGOR*. GRIS full-Stokes scans in the spectral region centred at the Fe I 1564.85 nm line were obtained by moving the spectrograph slit by 300-400 steps 0.13'' wide, which is also the resolution element of GRIS along the slit. The wavelength sampling in this region was 3.95 pm, the exposure time 100 ms, and each polarisation state was accumulated three times to increase the signal-to-noise ratio. The polarimetric calibration was made using the telescope model and the *GREGOR* polarimetric calibration unit (Hofmann *et al.*, 2012). The continuum intensity was measured in a line-free region at  $\lambda = 1564.23$  nm.

Our target was the large and complex Active Region NOAA 12339, which was composed of four large sunspots (two leading and two following) and many smaller spots. It was located near the disc centre and started to decay on the day of observations. We selected three best scans for further analysis: 007 (09:31–09:47 UT, leading spot 1), 016 (10:51– 11:03 UT, leading spot 2), and 018 (11:10–11.24 UT, following spot 1). The heliocentric angle of all the spots was equal to  $15^{\circ}$  ( $\mu = 0.97$ ) at the times when their scans were acquired. The field of view of the scanned maps varied from  $61'' \times 52''$  to  $61'' \times 39''$  according to the number of scanning steps.

During the observations, the adaptive optics worked throughout all scans, indicating a seeing characterised by the Fried parameter between  $r_0 = 10-15$  cm. Because the wave-front sensor worked at  $\lambda = 500$  nm and  $r_0 \sim \lambda^{6/5}$ , the Fried parameter at  $\lambda = 1565$  nm was approximately 40-60 cm. The spatial resolution of 0.5'' was estimated from the smallest features seen in the continuum map.

#### 2.2. Scattered Light

The observed intensity suffers from parasitic light scattered in the atmosphere, in the optical system of the telescope, and in the spectrograph. The influence of the atmosphere in the near-infrared is small and is mainly compensated for by the adaptive optics. The spectral scattered light (*i.e.* in the  $\lambda$ -direction) introduced by the spectrograph mainly influences line depths in Stokes I and can be neglected for Q, U, V because their continua are at zero. Generally, the effect of spectral scattered light is not important for measurements of line splitting, shifts, and I-continuum intensity, and we can neglect it in our analysis.

The spatial scattered light in the telescope and spectrograph is the most important. Its 2D point-spread function (PSF) was determined for *GREGOR* and GRIS under seeing conditions similar to ours by Borrero *et al.* (2016). It was composed of two Gaussian functions, which described the scattering from narrow and wide angles:

$$PSF(x, y) = p_n G_n(x, y, \sigma_n) + p_w G_w(x, y, \sigma_w).$$
(1)

Parameters of the wide-angle term  $p_w = 0.2$  and  $\sigma_w = 20''$  were derived under the assumption that when the magnetic-field vector **B** is parallel with the line of sight (hereafter LOS), which occurs at some places inside the umbra, the observed central component of the full-split line Fe I 1564.85 nm is formed completely by scattering of light from the surrounding

penumbra and granulation. This term corresponds to scattered light in the telescope optics. Parameters of the narrow-angle term  $p_w = 0.8$  and  $\sigma_w = 0.18''$  were derived from a spectral scan of a pinhole array inserted into the science focus of the telescope. This term corresponds to the scatter inside the spectrograph.

We deconvolved the observed *I*-continuum maps with this PSF in the Fourier domain, using the Wiener optimum restoration filter F (*e.g.* Pratt, 1978) in the form

$$F(\omega) = \frac{C+1}{C} \frac{\text{MTF}(\omega)}{\text{MTF}^2(\omega) + 1/C},$$
(2)

where MTF (modulation transfer function) is the Fourier image of PSF,  $\omega$  is the spatial frequency in a radially symmetric MTF, and C = 30 is a parameter depending on the signal-tonoise ratio. An increase of this parameter raises the contribution of high spatial frequencies in the restoration, including noise. Its value was found empirically following the rules that i) no part of the real information was suppressed, and ii) the noise was not enhanced. In this way, we obtained the deconvolved maps of  $I_c$  for all scans.

#### 2.3. Brightness Temperature

The brightness temperature  $T_b$  can be easily obtained from the deconvolved  $I_c$  maps normalised to the mean continuum intensity of undisturbed photospheric granulation, using the Planck function (Solanki, Walther, and Livingston, 1993; Mathew *et al.*, 2004). Following these authors, we adopted a reference quiet-Sun temperature equal to 7058 K. This value corresponds to the temperature at  $\tau_{1600} = 1$  in the photospheric reference model of Maltby *et al.* (1986). Owing to the opacity minimum at 1600 nm, this optical depth refers to layers deeper and hotter than  $\tau_{500} = 1$ . The obtained function  $T_b(I_c)$  for the continuum at  $\lambda = 1564.2$  nm is approximately linear in the  $I_c$  range from 0.5 to 1.1.

#### 2.4. Magnetic Field Measurement

The Fe I 1564.85 nm normal Zeeman triplet shows a complete split for B > 500 G (Solanki, Rüedi, and Livingston, 1992). We can use the well-known formula

$$B = \frac{\Delta\lambda}{4.67 \times 10^{-5} g\lambda_0^2} [\text{G, cm}]$$
(3)

to calculate the magnetic field strength magnitude from the displacement  $\Delta\lambda$  of  $\sigma$  components from the central wavelength  $\lambda_0$ . To reduce the effect of noise in the spectra as much as possible, we measured the line split  $s = 2\Delta\lambda$  using a seven-point parabolic fit to the extrema in the Stokes profiles  $\overline{QU} = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2}$  and/or V as follows.

We calculated the total circular and linear polarisations  $P_{cir} = \int |V(\lambda)| d\lambda$  and  $P_{lin} = \int \overline{QU}(\lambda) d\lambda$  in a 0.35 nm wide region around the central wavelength. Then the splits  $s_V$  and/or  $s_{\overline{QU}}$  were measured from the V and/or  $\overline{QU}$  profiles only when  $P_{cir}$  and/or  $P_{lin}$  were higher than 0.5, respectively. The total polarisation values served as weights in the final calculation of the split *s*, so that

$$\Delta \lambda = s/2 = \frac{P_{\rm cir}s_V + P_{\rm lin}s_{\overline{QU}}}{2(P_{\rm cir} + P_{\rm lin})}.$$
(4)

One resolution element in  $\Delta\lambda$  corresponds to 58 G, and it is further refined by the parabolic fit to the extrema.



**Figure 1** Continuum intensity maps, corrected for scattered light, obtained from the scans 007, 016, and 018. *Contours* delineate three types of masks that distinguish the umbra (*green*), light bridges (*red*), and the penumbra (*black*). The *purple line* is a contour of B = 1400 G, considered as an approximate boundary between the inner and outer penumbra. The vertical image size is 61''.

The magnetic field inclination  $\gamma$  related to the LOS direction can be determined directly as  $\gamma = \arctan(\sqrt{Q_{max}^2 + U_{max}^2}/V_{max})$ . According to Solanki, Rüedi, and Livingston (1992), the ratio  $\sqrt{Q_{max}^2 + U_{max}^2}/V_{max}$  for the line Fe I 1564.85 nm depends only on  $\gamma$  and not *B* for B > 1000 G outside the umbra and B > 2500 G in the umbra. We adopted a conservative condition of B > 1500 G for the  $\gamma$  calculations, being aware of a possible underestimate of  $\gamma$  in the umbra. The fact that the *Q*, *U*, *V* profiles are not corrected for scattered light is another source of uncertainty, which leads to a possible overestimate of  $\gamma$ . Thus we have to consider the LOS inclination values only as a rough estimate, particularly in the umbra.

# 3. Results

Regions of interest, which are the umbra, light bridges, and penumbra, were defined by binary masks that were drawn by hand using the  $I_c$  maps corrected for scattered light (Figure 1). These masks also served to exclude problematic areas caused by scanning errors and dirt on the spectrograph slit.

Scatter plots of *B versus I*<sub>c</sub> and  $T_b$  for all three spots and all regions of interest together are shown in Figure 2, left panel. The points, corresponding to different positions in the fields of view, are green in umbrae, red in light bridges, and black in penumbrae. Scatter plots that were made separately for individual sunspots (not shown) have a very similar shape. Solid lines represent mean values of *B* at points with *I*<sub>c</sub> falling into 0.01 wide bins of the continuum intensity histograms. The bins must contain at least 200 points to calculate the mean value. We also computed standard deviations  $\sigma$  characterising the scatter of *B* of individual points in each bin (dashed lines). The lines are black for the umbra, light bridges, and penumbra partially overlap, contours of the relative densities of points in the scatter plots are depicted for better clarity in the right panel of the figure. The relative densities are normalised to their maxima. Compared to the previous studies, our results have a substantially larger scatter because of resolved fine structures; our spatial resolution is at least twice better than that of Mathew *et al.* (2004).



**Figure 2** Left: scatter plot of *B versus I*<sub>c</sub> and  $T_b$  in the umbra (green, 27 826 points), light bridges (red, 9901 points), and the penumbra (black, 113 218 points) for all three sunspots together. Solid lines show average values in the umbra (black), light bridges (yellow), and penumbra (purple), together with dashed lines of  $\pm 1\sigma$ , which characterise the scatter of individual points. Right: density contours of the scatter plot. Density values are normalised to their maxima, and contour levels are annotated in the plot. The horizontal dashed line marks B = 1400 G (cf. Figure 1).

In the umbra, the magnetic field strength varies between 1600 and 3100 G with a typical scatter of  $\pm 150$  G. The normalised continuum intensity (temperature) ranges from 0.53 (5100 K) to 0.85 (6400 K) and the typical scatter is  $\pm 200$  K, caused by numerous umbral dots. The  $B - I_c$  relation is definitely non-linear, and its curvature even increases in a corresponding  $B^2 - T_b$  plot (not shown). A possible reason for the deviation from linearity was discussed by Jaeggli, Lin, and Uitenbroek (2012). Our results are consistent with those of Kopp and Rabin (1992), who made measurements at various positions inside six sunspots. Livingston (2002), Rezaei, Beck, and Schmidt (2012), and Watson, Penn, and Livingston (2014) concentrated on the darkest points in multiple umbrae. Our results are in a good agreement with the first work, and *B* measured by us in the whole umbra is weaker by 200 – 300 G than in the two latter ones. A compilation of results published by different authors is shown in Figure 7 of Penn *et al.* (2003).

Light bridges, with magnetic field strengths between 1300 and 2500 G and a continuum intensity (temperature) from 0.62 (5500 K) to 0.97 (6900 K), show a  $B - I_c$  relation that is very similar to the relation of the umbra, slightly shifted to higher continuum intensities and temperatures. The scatter of points is larger than in the umbra,  $\pm 200$  G in B and  $\pm 250$  K in T.

The  $B - I_c$  relation in the penumbra is more complex. The density plot in Figure 2 shows a dense cloud of points below B = 1400 G and an extension towards higher magnetic field strengths (1400-2300 G) and lower continuum intensities and temperatures (0.70-0.97, 5800-6900 K). The contour B = 1400 G plotted in Figure 1 indicates that this value may be considered as an approximate boundary between the inner and outer penumbra. The cloud characterised by a weaker magnetic field (700-1400 G) and higher continuum intensity and temperature (0.83-1.01, 6400-7100 K) corresponds to the outer penumbra. The magnetic field strength rises quite steeply towards the inner penumbra, while the temperature at the continuum formation height diminishes only slightly. Below  $I_c < 0.83$  in the inner penumbra, the  $B - I_c$  relation is similar to that of the umbra, and it is nearly identical with that of light bridges (Figure 2, left panel). The large scatter of intensities and temperatures is obviously due to the bright and dark penumbral filaments.



**Figure 3** Scatter-plot densities of *B versus I*<sub>c</sub> and  $T_b$  (*left*) and  $\gamma$  versus  $I_c$  and  $T_b$  (*right*), separately for the umbra (*top*), light bridges (*middle*), and the penumbra (*bottom*). The *contours* represent observed data for all three spots, while the grey-scale clouds depict in a linear scale the relative densities of the scatter plots obtained from numerical simulations. The contour levels are as in Figure 2 in the *left column* and 0.1-0.7, step 0.2 in the *right column*. Note that  $\gamma$  was measured only in regions where B > 1500 G.

Figure 3 shows separate density plots of the umbra, light bridges, and penumbra for all three sunspots together. The left column depicts density contours of the  $B - I_c(T_b)$  relation that are identical to those in Figure 2, and the right column presents density contours of the magnetic field LOS inclination  $\gamma$  versus  $I_c$ . The plots include 27 826 measured points in the

umbra, 9901 in light bridges, and 113 218 in the penumbra. Because  $\gamma$  was measured only in locations with B > 1500 G, the number of points in the inclination plots was reduced to 9374 in light bridges and 31 749 in the penumbra, so that the whole outer penumbra is missing.

Note that the  $\gamma - I_c$  scatter-plot densities were obtained for the LOS reference angle equal to 15° from the normal. The umbra has a large scatter of points, but the density plot shows an increase of  $\gamma$  with  $I_c$ , which is an effect of the increasing field inclination towards the edge of the umbra and in umbral dots (Socas-Navarro *et al.*, 2004). The LOS inclination in light bridges is similar to that in the umbra. The main part of the points is scattered between  $0-50^\circ$ , and the average is at around 20°. Jurčák, Martínez Pillet, and Sobotka (2006) found a higher value of  $\gamma = 40^\circ$  connected with a magnetic canopy above light bridges at  $h \approx 200$  km above  $\tau_{500} = 1$ . The line Fe I 1564.85 nm, however, is formed 100 km below this canopy, where the magnetic field vector can still be almost vertical. In the inner penumbra, the individual values are spread across  $10-90^\circ$ , similarly to the results of Mathew *et al.* (2004).

## 4. Comparison with a Synthetic Sunspot

It is interesting to compare our observational results with analogous data derived from numerical simulations of a sunspot, free of instrumental effects, scattered light, spectral line blends, and providing a much higher spatial resolution. We used the numerical model of a sunspot located at the disc centre (Rempel, 2012), particularly slab\_12x8x12km\_ng,<sup>1</sup> which simulates a 6144 km wide strip of the umbra, penumbra, and surrounding granulation with a horizontal sampling of  $12 \times 12$  km. This data set was derived by M. Rempel from a grey radiative transfer sunspot simulation at originally  $16 \times 16 \times 12$  km resolution in a  $49.152 \times 49.152 \times 6.144$  Mm (x, y, z) domain, evolving for one hour from an initial state defined by other models with lower resolution. The top half of that domain was regridded to  $12 \times 12 \times 8$  km resolution and evolved for another 15 minutes (ten minutes grey and the last five minutes non-grey). A snapshot of this model was used by Borrero *et al.* (2014) to compute full-Stokes synthetic spectra in the 1.5 µm region, employing the synthesis module of the SIR code (Stokes Inversion based on Response functions; Ruiz Cobo and del Toro Iniesta, 1992). These forward-modelled spectra were used for comparison with our observations.

Splits of the synthetic V and  $\overline{QU}$  profiles of the Fe I 1564.85 nm line as well as the LOS inclination were measured in the same way as in the observations (Section 2.4). The umbra and penumbra were distinguished by binary masks drawn by hand in the synthetic continuum map. Light bridges do not exist in the synthetic sunspot. Relations between the magnetic field strength/inclination and continuum intensity/temperature based on the synthetic data are displayed in Figure 3 in the form of grey-scale density plots. The scales of the plots are linear, from zero to maximum density.

The figure shows that in the umbra, synthetic *B* is generally higher by approximately 1000 G,  $I_c$  lower by 0.15, and the scatter of points is larger than in the observations. In the penumbra, the differences are smaller but still significant: 700 G, 0.08, and the scatter is substantially larger. Atomic and molecular blends may reduce the observed *B* in the umbra, and the light scattered in the Earth's atmosphere (we corrected only for the instrumental stray light) can raise the observed continuum intensity. Moreover, highly magnetic features

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See http://download.hao.ucar.edu/pub/rempel/sunspot\_models/Fine\_Structure/.

obtained by numerical simulations in the umbra and penumbra increase the simulated B, but they are too small to be detected in observations with the resolution of 350 km.

The sunspot simulation also has some photometric limits. According to the notes included for the Rempel models, "the luminosity of the quiet Sun surrounding the sunspot is not exactly solar", being off by a few percent. It is possible that the value used for the quiet-Sun intensity in the model is spuriously increased by bright magnetic elements in this highly resolved simulation, which reduces the normalised intensity. There is also the question of how accurate the intensities of this model are, since the model evolved only for one hour at this resolution and the non-grey radiative transfer was switched on only during the last five minutes.

Realising that the spatial resolution in the simulations is much better than in the observations, we suggest that the scatter of points increases with increasing spatial resolution. This is seen already when we compare our results with previous works that have a lower resolution. The simulations are 15 times finer than our observations and the corresponding scatter is much larger. It can be considered that the  $B - I_c$  scatter is an intrinsic attribute of sunspot fine structures.

It is hard to compare the observed LOS magnetic field inclination with the local reference-frame inclination in the simulations. However, because the LOS angle is only 15° in our observations, a tentative comparison may be interesting. In the umbra, both clouds of points are quite similar when we omit the differences in  $I_c$  caused by the scattered light in our observations and possible photometric inaccuracies of the simulations. The trend of increasing inclination with increasing  $I_c$  is common for both observed and synthetic data. In the penumbra, the points with  $\gamma < 30^\circ$  are absent in the simulations. These points appear in the observations probably as a result of the projection effect in the LOS system. We also have to keep in mind that the inclination was measured only in the inner penumbra.

## 5. Discussion and Conclusions

We repeated the classical exercise of determining the temperature – magnetic field relation in sunspots in the infrared region, using the simplest direct methods to measure the magnetic field strength and inclination. Our results are consistent with those of previous works, showing the typical shape of the  $B - T_b$  relation (see Section 1). Thanks to the high spatial resolution provided by *GREGOR*, we were able to treat the umbra, penumbra, and light bridges separately. While authors of previous works, *e.g.* Stanchfield, Thomas, and Lites (1997), Mathew *et al.* (2004), and Jaeggli, Lin, and Uitenbroek (2012), used an intensity threshold to separate the umbra and penumbra, we used manually drawn boundaries based on visible structures. Thanks to this morphological criterion, we obtained overlaps in the properties of the umbra, penumbra, and light bridges. All these structures have a common overlap in the range 1700 G < B < 2100 G and 5800 K <  $T_b$  < 6300 K (see Figure 2). In our results, this range corresponds to the "umbra–penumbra transition" in the typical  $B - T_b$ plot.

Despite the large scatter caused by fluctuations of temperature and magnetic field strength in fine-scale structures, which is also typical for the synthetic sunspot, there are general trends in the  $B - T_b$  relation that are represented by the mean values plotted in Figure 2. These trends are very similar in the umbra, light bridges, and the inner penumbra in the range of the common overlap. This indicates that the interaction of the magnetic field with moving plasma, which is the cause of sunspot cooling, has the same character in the inner parts of spots, where the magnetic field is not strongly inclined to normal. We find a tentative separation value B = 1400 G between the inner and outer penumbra. The outer penumbra shows a generally weaker magnetic field strength than the inner penumbra at equal temperatures.

These facts can be explained in terms of the "interlocking comb" magnetic structure of the penumbra, (Thomas and Weiss, 2008, Chapter 5.2, and references therein), where at least two magnetic-field systems are expected. The first system, which can be considered as a continuation of the umbral magnetic field, has less inclined field lines that extend high into the atmosphere. Its magnetic field is stronger and its filamentary structure (spines) weakens in the outer penumbra. In the inner penumbra, it is related to dark filaments (Borrero and Ichimoto, 2011). The second system, which is connected with the Evershed flow, has a weaker magnetic field with steeply inclined, nearly horizontal field lines and a limited vertical extent. The corresponding penumbral filaments are bright in the inner penumbra and dark in the outer one. The highest intensity contrast between the bright and dark penumbral filaments is seen in the inner penumbra close to the umbral border, where bright penumbral grains (Muller, 1973) are formed at the tips of the bright filaments.

When observed in the low photosphere (at  $h \approx 100$  km), the umbra, light bridges, and inner penumbra are dominated by the first, strong, and less inclined magnetic field system. Intensity differences in the inner penumbra are large due to the high contrast of penumbral filaments. The outer penumbra, however, is dominated by the nearly horizontal magnetic field at this height, and the field strength is considerably weaker because i) it decreases with increasing distance from the umbra, and ii) the second field system is generally weaker than the first (Langhans *et al.*, 2005). The contrast of penumbral filaments is lower than in the vicinity of the umbra, so that the intensity differences are smaller than in the inner penumbra.

The statistical analysis of the temperature – magnetic field relation is complementary to the modern methods of spectropolarimetric inversions and numerical simulations that are currently used to study sunspots. It can serve to verify their results and even to add some new details. Our approach made it possible to compare individual  $B - T_b$  relations obtained for different sunspot structures, and we find a strong similarity between the umbra, light bridges, and inner penumbra, while the outer penumbra with B < 1400 G shows a different behaviour. This can be explained by the interlocking comb magnetic structure. Some questions still remain, however. Do other sunspots with different size, brightness, and phase of evolution show a different  $B - T_b$  relationship? If they do, does the relationship vary with the solar cycle?

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by the grant 14-04338S of the Czech Science Foundation, the FP-7 Capacities Project No. 312495 SOLARNET, and the institutional support RVO:67985815 of the Czech Academy of Sciences. R.R. acknowledges financial support by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness through the project AYA2014-60476-P. We thank J.M. Borrero for synthetic spectra computed in the frame of the international working group Extracting Information from Spectropolarimetric Observations: Comparison of Inversion Codes at the International Space Science Institute (ISSI) in Bern (Switzerland). We use data provided by M. Rempel at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). The National Center for Atmospheric Research is sponsored by the National Science Foundation. The 1.5-meter *GREGOR* solar telescope was built by a German consortium under the leadership of the Kiepenheuer Institute for Solar Physics in Freiburg with the Leibniz Institute for Astrophysics Potsdam, the Institute of Astrophysics Göttingen, and the Max Planck Institute for Solar System Research in Göttingen as partners, and with contributions by the Institute de Astrofísica de Canarias and the Astronomical Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences. We thank the referee for the comments that led to a substantial improvement of the paper.

Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

- Abdussamatov, H.I.: 1971, On the magnetic fields and motions in sunspots at different atmospheric levels. Solar Phys. 16, 384. DOI. ADS.
- Balthasar, H., Schmidt, W.: 1993, Polarimetry and spectroscopy of a simple sunspot. II: On the height and temperature dependence of the magnetic field. *Astron. Astrophys.* **279**, 243. ADS.
- Berkefeld, T., Schmidt, D., Soltau, D., von der Lühe, O., Heidecke, F.: 2012, The GREGOR adaptive optics system. Astron. Nachr. 333, 863. DOI. ADS.
- Borrero, J.M., Ichimoto, K.: 2011, Magnetic structure of sunspots. Living Rev. Solar Phys. 8, 4. DOI. ADS.
- Borrero, J.M., Lites, B.W., Lagg, A., Rezaei, R., Rempel, M.: 2014, Comparison of inversion codes for polarized line formation in MHD simulations. I. Milne-Eddington codes. *Astron. Astrophys.* 572, A54. DOI. ADS.
- Borrero, J.M., Asensio Ramos, A., Collados, M., Schlichenmaier, R., Balthasar, H., Franz, M., Rezaei, R., Kiess, C., Orozco Suárez, D., Pastor, A., Berkefeld, T., von der Lühe, O., Schmidt, D., Schmidt, W., Sigwarth, M., Soltau, D., Volkmer, R., Waldmann, T., Denker, C., Hofmann, A., Staude, J., Strassmeier, K.G., Feller, A., Lagg, A., Solanki, S.K., Sobotka, M., Nicklas, H.: 2016, Deep probing of the photospheric sunspot penumbra: No evidence of field-free gaps. *Astron. Astrophys.* 596, A2. DOI. ADS.
- Bruls, J.H., Lites, B.W., Murphy, G.A.: 1991, In: November, L. (ed.) Solar Polarimetry, Proc. 11th Sacramento Peak Workshop, NSO, Sunspot, 444.
- Collados, M., López, R., Páez, E., Hernández, E., Reyes, M., Calcines, A., Ballesteros, E., Díaz, J.J., Denker, C., Lagg, A., Schlichenmaier, R., Schmidt, W., Solanki, S.K., Strassmeier, K.G., von der Lühe, O., Volkmer, R.: 2012, GRIS: The GREGOR infrared spectrograph. *Astron. Nachr.* 333, 872. DOI. ADS.
- Gurman, J.B., House, L.L.: 1981, Vector magnetic fields in sunspots. I Weak-line observations. Solar Phys. 71, 5. DOI. ADS.
- Hofmann, A., Arlt, K., Balthasar, H., Bauer, S.M., Bittner, W., Paschke, J., Popow, E., Rendtel, J., Soltau, D., Waldmann, T.: 2012, The GREGOR polarimetric calibration unit. *Astron. Nachr.* 333, 854. DOI. ADS.
- Jaeggli, S.A., Lin, H., Uitenbroek, H.: 2012, On molecular hydrogen formation and the magnetohydrostatic equilibrium of sunspots. Astrophys. J. 745, 133. DOI. ADS.
- Jurčák, J., Martínez Pillet, V., Sobotka, M.: 2006, The magnetic canopy above light bridges. Astron. Astrophys. 453, 1079. DOI. ADS.
- Kopp, G., Rabin, D.: 1992, A relation between magnetic field strength and temperature in sunspots. *Solar Phys.* 141, 253. DOI. ADS.
- Langhans, K., Scharmer, G.B., Kiselman, D., Löfdahl, M.G., Berger, T.E.: 2005, Inclination of magnetic fields and flows in sunspot penumbrae. Astron. Astrophys. 436, 1087. DOI. ADS.
- Leonard, T., Choudhary, D.P.: 2008, Intensity and magnetic field distribution of sunspots. *Solar Phys.* 252, 33. DOI. ADS.
- Livingston, W.: 2002, Sunspots observed to physically weaken in 2000-2001. Solar Phys. 207, 41. DOI. ADS.
- Maltby, P., Avrett, E.H., Carlsson, M., Kjeldseth-Moe, O., Kurucz, R.L., Loeser, R.: 1986, A new sunspot umbral model and its variation with the solar cycle. *Astrophys. J.* 306, 284. DOI. ADS.
- Martínez Pillet, V., Vázquez, M.: 1990, On the continuum intensity-magnetic field relation along the decay phase of sunspots. *Astrophys. Space Sci.* 170, 75. DOI. ADS.
- Martínez Pillet, V., Vázquez, M.: 1993, The continuum intensity-magnetic field relation in sunspot umbrae. Astron. Astrophys. 270, 494. ADS.
- Mathew, S.K., Solanki, S.K., Lagg, A., Collados, M., Borrero, J.M., Berdyugina, S.: 2004, Thermal-magnetic relation in a sunspot and a map of its Wilson depression. *Astron. Astrophys.* 422, 693. DOI. ADS.
- Muller, R.: 1973, Étude photométrique des structures fines de la pénombre d'une tache solaire. Solar Phys. 32, 409. DOI. ADS.
- Penn, M.J., Walton, S., Chapman, G., Ceja, J., Plick, W.: 2003, Temperature dependence of molecular line strengths and Fei 1565 nm Zeeman splitting in a sunspot. *Solar Phys.* 213, 55. DOI. ADS.
- Pratt, W.K.: 1978, Digital Image Processing, Wiley, New York. ADS.
- Rempel, M.: 2012, Numerical sunspot models: Robustness of photospheric velocity and magnetic field structure. Astrophys. J. 750, 62. DOI. ADS.
- Rezaei, R., Beck, C., Schmidt, W.: 2012, Variation in sunspot properties between 1999 and 2011 as observed with the Tenerife infrared polarimeter. *Astron. Astrophys.* **541**, A60. DOI. ADS.
- Rüedi, I., Solanki, S.K., Livingston, W., Harvey, J.: 1995, Interesting lines in the infrared solar spectrum. III. A polarimetric survey between 1.05 and 2.50 µm. Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 113, 91. ADS.
- Ruiz Cobo, B., del Toro Iniesta, J.C.: 1992, Inversion of Stokes profiles. Astrophys. J. 398, 375. DOI. ADS.
- Schmidt, W., von der L
  ühe, O., Volkmer, R., Denker, C., Solanki, S.K., Balthasar, H., Bello Gonzalez, N., Berkefeld, T., Collados, M., Fischer, A., Halbgewachs, C., Heidecke, F., Hofmann, A., Kneer, F., Lagg, A., Nicklas, H., Popow, E., Puschmann, K.G., Schmidt, D., Sigwarth, M., Sobotka, M., Soltau, D.,

Staude, J., Strassmeier, K.G., Waldmann, T.A.: 2012, The 1.5 meter solar telescope GREGOR. *Astron. Nachr.* **333**, 796. DOI. ADS.

- Socas-Navarro, H., Martínez Pillet, V., Sobotka, M., Vázquez, M.: 2004, The thermal and magnetic structure of umbral dots from the inversion of high-resolution full Stokes observations. *Astrophys. J.* 614, 448. DOI. ADS.
- Solanki, S.K., Rüedi, I.K., Livingston, W.: 1992, Infrared lines as probes of solar magnetic features. II – Diagnostic capabilities of Fe I 15648.5 A and 15652.9 A. Astron. Astrophys. 263, 312. ADS.
- Solanki, S.K., Walther, U., Livingston, W.: 1993, Infrared lines as probes of solar magnetic features. VI. The thermal-magnetic relation and Wilson depression of a simple sunspot. *Astron. Astrophys.* 277, 639. ADS.
- Stanchfield, D.C.H. II, Thomas, J.H., Lites, B.W.: 1997, The vector magnetic field, Evershed flow, and intensity in a sunspot. Astrophys. J. 477, 485. DOI. ADS.
- Thomas, J.H., Weiss, N.O.: 2008, Sunspots and Starspots, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. ADS.
- Vernazza, J.E., Avrett, E.H., Loeser, R.: 1981, Structure of the solar chromosphere. III Models of the EUV brightness components of the quiet-Sun. Astrophys. J. Suppl. 45, 635. DOI. ADS.
- Watson, F.T., Penn, M.J., Livingston, W.: 2014, A multi-instrument analysis of sunspot umbrae. Astrophys. J. 787, 22. DOI. ADS.